





# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/866,857 05/30/1997		30/1997	DAVID CORBOY	06651/008001	1383	
20985	7590	12/06/2001				
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC				EXAMINER		
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500			HUYNH, CO		NG LAC T	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122		2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2176		
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

18



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/866, 85 SERIAL NUMBER	/ ILING DATE	HRS! NAMED APPLICANT	·· -·	ALLORNEY DOCKET NO	
·-·· - · · ·			EX	AMINER	
			TRAU TRA	PARIF SOME	

DELL POPULO

# Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

# COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

### **ADVISORY ACTION**

N= 11= 11= 1	
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) a is extended to run or continues to run 3 months from the date of the final rejection	
b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate foe. The date on which the response, the petition , and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 119/01 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:	
<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.</li> </ul>	
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: The added limitations to the independent claims I and 10, and the new claims 51 and 52 would require further search and for consideration	
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:	
Claims allowed:	
Claims objected to:	
Claims rejected: 1716 / 31 - 3 0 However;	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
М	4
4. The affidavit, exhibit or jequest for reconsideration has been considered by does not overcome the rejection because the reque was substantially based on the added claims and limitations.	ગ
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.	
☐ Other / STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER	

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)